

SEP 29 2003

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

REENA KAUR,

Petitioner,

v.

JOHN ASHCROFT, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 02-71758

Agency No. A75-318-164

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 10, 2003**
San Francisco, California

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, O'SCANNLAIN, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Reena Kaur, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board
of Immigration Appeals' dismissal of her appeal from an immigration judge's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or
by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral
argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denial of her applications for asylum and withholding of removal. The IJ's denial was on the basis of adverse credibility findings, and the BIA affirmed those findings. We must affirm unless the record compels a finding that the applicant was credible and is eligible for immigration benefits. Malhi v. INS, 336 F.3d 989, 993 (9th Cir. 2003); INS v. Elias-Zacarias, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992).

The adverse credibility findings are supported by material inconsistencies in the petitioner's testimony, including inconsistencies as to the kind of physical abuse to which she was subjected. The IJ and BIA's decisions are supported by substantial evidence.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.